## P "ENT COOPERATION TREAT"

TO: K. DAVID CROCKETT CROCKETT & FISH 4093 TROPICO WAY LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90065		PCT  WRITTEN OPINION  (PCT Rule 66)	
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	22 FEB 1999
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE within TWO months from the above date of mailing	
International application No.	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/US98/08111	20 APRIL 1998		05 JUNE 1997
International Patent Classification (IPC) IPC(6): A61F 2/00; and US Cl.: 60 Applicant	or both national classifi 7/101	cation and IPC	
ADIANA, INC.			
IV Lack of unity of in	of opinion with regard to vention	o novelty, inventive s	tep or industrial applicability , inventive step or industrial applicability;
VI Certain documents			
	the international applications on the international ap		
لسسا			
3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion. When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension., see Rule 66.2(d).			
How? By submitting a v	g a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.		
For the examiner	tional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4. miner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis. mail communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.		
If no reply is filed, the interna  4. The final date by which the internation report must be esta	io.eol meoliminom		established on the basis of this opinion.  BER 1999
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		Authorized offic	O O ·
Commissioner of Patents and Tra Box PCT	demarks	ROSALIND	KEARNEY
Washington, D.C. 20231		Telephone No.	(703) 308-2711
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230		i ciopiione i ioi	V>

Form PCT/IPEA/408 (cover sheet) (January 1994)\*

## WRITTEN OPINION

Inte cional application No.

PCT/US98/08111

I. Basis of the opinion							
1. This opinion has been drawn on the basis of (Substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed".):							
x the international application as originally filed.							
x the description, pages 1-23 , as originally filed.  pages NONE , filed with the demand.  pages NONE , filed with the letter of							
the claims, Nos. 1-12 , as originally filed.  Nos. NONE , as amended under Article 19.  Nos. NONE , filed with the demand.  Nos. NONE , filed with the letter of							
X the drawings, sheets/fig 1-9 , as originally filed.  sheets/fig NONE , filed with the demand. sheets/fig NONE , filed with the letter of							
2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:    X							

Inte 'onal application No.
PCT/US98/08111

v.	Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
	citations and explanations supporting such statement

	Citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	STATEMENT					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1, 2, 5, 9-12	YES		
	, , ,	Claims	3, 4, 6-8	NO		
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims Claims	1, 2, 9, 10, 12 3-8, 11	YES NO		
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims Claims	1-12 NONE	YES NO		

## 2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Claims 3-4 and 6-8 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Zipes et al. Zipes et al. disclose a device for application of RF energy to the pathway within the body comprising a catheter, an electrode assembly (20) releasably attached to the distal end of the catheter, and a release mechanism as described in column 4 line 16. Fig. 4 illustrates the particular details of the electrode assembly.

Claim 5 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Zipes et al. Zipes et al. explicitly teach all of the limitations of the claims except a coating of ingrowth compound on the plug. It is an old, and well-known practice in the art to coat medical devices used internally. Many coatings include bio-compatible materials which promote the bodies acceptance of the foreign device. Since the main function of the Zipes et al. apparatus is to attach an electrode to internal tissue it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat the device with an ingrowth promoting compound to assist the body in accepting, and maintaining the device.

Claims 11 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Wilk et al. Wilk et al. teach all of the limitations of the claim except a locking mechanism releasably securing the catheter to a speculum. The use of vaginal speculums for the purpose of examination, and surgical procedures is a widely accepted medical practice. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a speculum for use with the Wilk et al. apparatus to provide a means for maintaining the vagina in an open position. It would have been furthermore obvious to provide some type of locking assembly on the device to use in conjunction with the speculum to insure that the speculum remains in place while the surgery is being performed.

Claims 1, 2, 9, 10 and 12 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(4) because the prior art does not teach or (Continued on Supplemental Sheet.)

## WRITTEN OPINION

ional application No.

WILLIAM	PCT/US98/08111				
Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)					
Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII	Sheet 10				
TIME LIMIT:  THE TIME LIMIT SET FOR RESPONSE TO A WRITTEN OPINION MAY NOT BE EXPERED AFTER THE EXPIRATION OF THE TIME LIMIT SET IN THE WRITTEN OPINION WILL INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT.	TENDED. 37 CFR 1,484(d). ANY RESPONSE				
V. 2. REASONED STATEMENTS - CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS (CONTINUED): FAIRLY SUGGEST DELIVERING RADIO FREQUENCY ENERGY CAUSING THE TISSUE TO CO OR ADVANCING A CATHETER HAVING A DETACHABLE ELECTRODE THROUGH THE VAGINA	DLLAPSE, AND A RELEASE MECHANISM AS CLAIMED A.				
NONE					